UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,))
v.)) No. 4:16-CV-180-CDF
CITY OF FERGUSON, MISSOURI,)
Defendant.)

STATUS CONFERENCE VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CATHERINE D. PERRY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APRIL 8, 2021

APPEARANCES:

Independent Monitor: Natashia Tidwell, Esq.

SAUL EWING ARNSTEIN & LEHR LLP

Courtney A. Caruso, Esq.

HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP

For Plaintiff: Jude J. Volek, Esq.

Amy Senier, Esq.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

For Defendant: Aarnarian (Apollo) D. Carey, Esq.

LEWIS RICE LLC

REPORTED BY: Gayle D. Madden, CSR, RDR, CRR

Official Court Reporter

United States District Court

111 South Tenth Street, Third Floor

St. Louis, MO 63102 (314) 244-7987

(Produced by computer-aided mechanical stenography.)

INDEX

Statement	by	the	Court	•									Page	3
Statement	by	Mr.	Carey	•									Page	5
Statement	by	${\tt Ms.}$	Barton					•	•	•	•	•	Page	9
Statement	by	Ms.	Senior										Page	15
Statement	by	Ms.	Tidwell	1									Page	23
Statement	by	the	Court	•									Page	30
Statement	by	Mr.	Carey	•									Page	31
Statement	by	the	Court	•									Page	34

(Proceedings commenced at 11:00 a.m.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, counsel. we will begin this hearing. We are here in the case of United States of America versus the City of Ferguson. This is Case No. 4:16-CR-180, and we are here for the quarterly status hearing. Because of the pandemic and the inability to have a lot of people in the courthouse, we are again doing this by videoconference, and the lawyers are with me on the videoconference. Members of the public have been given the opportunity to listen on the telephone and also to follow the audio on the Court's YouTube site, and I'm told that that is up and running. So I hope the members of the public are able to hear us at this time, and I am, as I keep saying, I guess, hopeful that by the time we have our next quarterly hearing we'll be able to do it in the courtroom with live participation, but that, of course, depends on the progress of the pandemic. So I appreciate the lawyers being here to do this.

So let me start by asking counsel to identify themselves for the record. Counsel for the United States, the Department of Justice, would you please identify yourself?

MS. SENIER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. This is Amy Senier for the United States.

MR. VOLEK: Hello, Your Honor. This is Jude Volek for the United States.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Volek, you are cutting out 1 2 just a little bit. So just make sure you stick with your -- I 3 guess stay up to your mic. And for the City of Ferguson? 4 5 MR. CAREY: Good morning, Your Honor. Apollo Carey 6 with the City of Ferguson. 7 THE COURT: All right. And I know you do have some 8 city officials with you, and I assume, if they're going to 9 speak, you will introduce them at that time; is that correct? 10 MR. CAREY: Yes, ma'am, I will. I'll just -- and 11 once we start, I'll just give you a general, as I always do, 12 sort of rundown of who's on the line. 13 THE COURT: All right. And as I mentioned to Mr. Volek, I would appreciate it if you would make sure you're 14 15 close to your microphone as well because you are a little 16 light there. 17 And then for the Monitor, would counsel please 18 identify yourselves? 19 MS. TIDWELL: Thank you, Your Honor, and good 20 morning. Natashia Tidwell for the Monitoring Team along with 21 Courtney Caruso. 22 MS. CARUSO: Good morning. 23 THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Caruso. And to you and 24 to Ms. Herron, I will try to keep the Courtneys separate this

time and not call you all by the wrong names, as I have done

25

in the past.

Okay. Well, we are here to see a report. I will mention to the members of the public who might be listening —
I know the parties are fully aware of this — the Monitor did file a status report back in February. It is on the Monitor's website as well as the court website, and so — and there is also a community survey that is posted in various places that people can still answer. So I would urge everyone to do that if they wish to.

I also need to remind everyone that although we are providing this on an audio stream both on YouTube and on the telephone, that under the policy of the United States Judicial Conference, which is the governing body of the federal courts, any broadcasting or recording of this hearing is strictly forbidden, and so although you're allowed to listen to it, you may not record it or broadcast it.

So with that said, Mr. Carey, I'll ask you to make any statements you wish on behalf of the City of Ferguson.

MR. CAREY: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll just -- so how you'll -- so that you and the public will know how the order of things will go here, I'll just sort of give sort of a general introduction and kind of an overview on some general topics related to the City and Consent Decree compliance, and then I will allow our Consent Decree Coordinator, Nicolle Barton, to sort of take over and give a little bit more of a

detailed report or update, a status update, but as is customary, I will introduce to you and the public the folks who are in the room with me.

Kind of starting at the front of our table, to my left, is our acting Interim City Manager, Chief John Hampton. John, if you just want to raise your hand for the -- so that the Judge can see. And then also to my left is our Police Chief, Jason Armstrong. To his right and my immediate left is our Assistant Chief, Frank McCall, and on the other side of our table is our Court Administrator, Courtney Herron, not Courtney Caruso. Sitting next to Courtney Herron is our Captain Dilworth is here as well, who, as you know, has been very instrumental in compliance thus far, as well as our Consent Decree Coordinator, Nicolle Barton.

I have been alerted that several of our elected officials are on the line listening. Our Mayor, Ms. Ella Jones, is on the line. Councilwoman Fran Griffin is on the line. And also, two of our newly elected council folks are on the line -- one from Ward 3, Ms. Naquittia Noah, and then from Ward 1, Ms. Phedra Nelson.

And so that sort of kind of segues into sort of a general -- one of the general points I wanted to make in updating you on what's going on in the city is that we did just recently have a municipal election. This was on Tuesday. And so three of our council seats were up for grabs. One of

those seats was won by the incumbent, and that is Ms. Toni Burrow. I think you are familiar with Ms. Burrow from her participation in some of our hearings and then also just some of the correspondence that she's sent to the -- to the Court. But then two of our other councilperson spots were filled with new people -- the spot in Ward 1 to Ms. Nelson and then the spot in Ward 3 to Ms. Noah. And so we -- you know, we have a changing dynamic now on the council. One of the more obvious changes is that now we -- and I don't know if this has happened in the history of the city, but we have -- we now have an all-female council, which, you know, is a -- you know, it's a different dynamic, and I'm sure that will be quite exciting and that will be something that, you know, we're looking forward to. You know, maybe this council can kind of show us all how it's supposed to be done, and so that's really, really refreshing. So, you know, that just -- you know, we'll be going into the --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Let me interrupt you there, Mr. Carey, and say I want to congratulate everyone. Glad to see that, you know, the people who hold up half the sky are being well represented in political offices these days, and so congratulations to all of the members of the council.

And I'm sorry to interrupt you here, but I realized I forgot to say something earlier that I wanted to say to the members of the public who are listening. There were people

who sent in comments to the Monitor. Those have been provided to me, and I believe they will be addressed, you know, to some extent, during the presentations here today, and I just wanted everybody to know that the parties and the Monitor and I are aware of those comments and have read them.

And now I'm sorry, Mr. Carey. I'll let you continue.

MR. CAREY: That's all right, Your Honor. I was

almost done with that point, and I'll just conclude in saying,

you know, obviously, there's a new and different dynamic and

we're all excited about, you know, how that's going to play

out here in the coming months and, obviously, as it relates to

Consent Decree compliance.

The other issue I wanted to sort of -- the general issue I wanted to update the Court and the public on is our search for a permanent city manager. I know that, you know, last time we met as well as I know the public is aware of the fact that the City is now searching for a permanent city manager. We still have yet to come to terms with one of the candidates that we, you know, have selected for the position, but we are currently working on that. Obviously, the municipal election sort of kind of got in the way there of that progress. We almost -- you know, we almost had that done before the municipal election, but we just weren't able to agree with one of the candidates yet. So we are still working towards that. We think -- well, we hope that that will be

concluded here in the next -- in the near future but just wanted to update you on the City's progress in hiring that permanent city manager.

Outside of that, Your Honor, what I'll do now is just sort of turn it over to the Consent Decree Coordinator to provide a little bit more detail on Consent Decree-related items.

You're muted.

THE COURT: You know, counsel, you all shouldn't have to remind the Judge to unmute herself, just like you shouldn't have to remind me to silence my telephone, but you've both had to do both of those things, so I appreciate the reminders.

Ms. Barton. I'll now hear from the Consent Decree Coordinator, Nicolle Barton.

MS. NICOLLE BARTON: Good morning, Your Honor, and thank you for allowing me to present to the Court this morning. I would first like to start with our community policing and engagement activity. As you know, the City is to host and participate in a series of small-group dialogues between police officers and members of the community. Ideally, we would like to have these conversations and dialogues in person; however, due to COVID, we have to rethink a strategy that would allow us to still engage in these conversations and complete our series of small-group dialogues, but due to COVID, we were not able to meet in

person. Back in -- I'm sorry. We've been working with Community Mediation Services to help facilitate these dialogues, and back in September of 2020, we conducted a pilot Zoom call between members of the community and the Ferguson Police Department members to see if the Zoom calls would be an effective way to engage in these conversations. Everyone was actually very pleased with the conversations that we had during the Zoom call, and we felt like this was the best way to move forward with our dialogues until we can meet in person again.

The first set of the series of small-group dialogues will begin May 19th, and we will continue the first set

June 2nd and June 16th. We will post a link to our website

for people who want to sign up for these series of small-group

dialogues by the end of next week. It will be posted on the

Ferguson website, and in the meantime, I will be going out in

the community with the Monitor Team community engagement

specialist to engage community members in both filling out the

Monitor Team survey as well as engaging in the participation

of these series of small-group dialogues.

In addition, we've been working with both the Civilian Review Board and the Neighborhood Police Steering Committee for the past year to develop the community policing and engagement plan, and both of the general groups have now had the opportunity to provide us with valuable feedback and

suggestions into this plan. We would also like to focus on conversations surrounding community-oriented and problem-solving policing during our series of small-group dialogues, and we hope to use those outcomes to help build the community policing and engagement plan even further.

Moving to our compliance accountability plan, under good-cause criteria number three, the Ferguson Municipal Court prosecutors have agreed to dismiss all "driving while license suspended" and "driving while license revoked" cases that were issued prior to January 1st of 2014. There were originally 850 of those charges listed, and as of this week, we have dismissed all but 294 cases that we are still processing for dismissal.

We are also currently in discussion with the Monitor

Team on final language revisions to our Ferguson Municipal

Court Policies 1.0, our Court Proceedings and Trials, and also
the Ferguson Municipal Court Policies 3.0 Court Fines and

Fees.

Regarding our training, last year, we began working with Suffolk County, New York, to see if they would be willing to partner with the Ferguson Police Department to train us on their bias-free policing. Suffolk County had an impressive implicit bias policing program which they worked directly with their community members to develop. Suffolk County developed an intensive three-day "Train the Trainer" program

specifically for Ferguson Police Department.

On the third day of the training, we invited community members from our Civilian Review Board, our Neighborhood Police Steering Committee, our faith-based community, our Training Committee, and our council to participate and sit in on the brief overview of what we had learned over those three days. All the command staff and four of our patrol staff attended that training as well. Our goal is to incorporate community feedback into our training and tailor this training specifically for the needs of the Ferguson community, and this will be our first in-service training.

As a priority for this year, we want to find a good officer assistance program that meets the criteria of the Consent Decree and offers both training and support to our officers and their families in times of need and crisis situations. The Chief and I have spoken to several agencies, and we feel that we may have identified an excellent program that would offer peer-to-peer training and a 24-hour crisis line that's staffed with other first responders. The agency is going to provide us with a complete proposal, and we will share that with all the parties in the near future for approval.

Moving on to policy development and implementation, during this reporting period, we have closed the public

comment period for our First Amendment policy, and we are working with the Department of Justice on implementing and incorporating the public comments and suggestions into these policies.

During this period, we have also finalized our citizen-police mediation and citation and warrantless arrest policies, and the roll call training materials for both of those policies were recently approved by the Department of Justice, and we will be incorporating these into our roll call training for April and May.

Since our last status hearing, we completed roll call trainings on our body-worn camera and in-car camera policies as well.

As you know, we've been working with Benchmark to develop our software systems for our use-of-force forms and our use-of-force review, and during this period while we were building out this program, we reviewed and amended several of our use-of-force policies. All of the language changes have been approved and incorporated into -- and they will be incorporated into our roll call trainings during the next several months. We will upload all those revised use-of-force policies onto our post-Consent Decree policy page for the public to review as well.

In the area of data collection, the -- the development of those use-of-force forms and the use-of-force

review has taken a bit longer than we anticipated and -because we have to work on customizing those forms that were
specific to Ferguson use-of-force policies and Consent Decree
requirements, but we expect to have these forms finalized by
the end of this month, and we hope to be going live by the
beginning of May.

We will then roll into our accountability module as our next priority with the software programs and our data requirements.

And, finally, we have entered into Phase II of our use-of-force audits, and all of the requested use-of-force reports, the incident reports, the body camera and car camera footages and photos have been uploaded into the SecureBox systems for review by the Department of Justice and the Monitor Team. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Barton. So as I understand it, what you're saying is all the -- so the Department of Justice and the Monitoring Team now do have access to all of the reports and video for all of those -- for the things they were looking for for the use-of-force audit; correct?

MS. NICOLLE BARTON: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And the data collection that you're talking about putting -- you know, getting that up and running for the use-of-force reviews -- do you have a timeline on when

you expect or hope to have -- I know it's been delayed, and I understand why, but do you have a timeline for when you think you'll have the software and everything set up so that the data collection can work the way it needs to?

MS. NICOLLE BARTON: Yes, ma'am. We are in our final stages of the use-of-force review and the use-of-force forms. We have a follow-up call with them next week, and we believe that we will be able to finalize at least the use-of-force module for the data collection in that area, and then we'll move forward to the accountability section module, and we don't believe that's going to take as long as the use-of-force forms have taken.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. Mr. Carey.

MR. CAREY: Your Honor, I think, as far as the City is concerned, that ends our initial presentation, and so we don't have anything else unless you have any questions.

THE COURT: I do not at this time. We may have others as time goes by and, obviously, as the other parties speak.

So, Ms. Senier or Mr. Volek, I'll ask you all to proceed on behalf of the Department of Justice.

MS. SENIER: Thank you, Your Honor. This is Amy Senier for the Department of Justice.

We appreciate the opportunity to brief the Court and the public on the implementation progress to date. We also

want to thank Ms. Barton for her thorough rundown of where many of the items currently stand.

Finally, we want to thank the public for their comments, which we always find helpful, particularly, during this time when we're not able to travel to Ferguson in person.

I'll try to address as many of those comments as I can throughout my remarks.

We said at the last status hearing, Your Honor, we agree with the Monitoring Team that the focus of year five really has to shift to the evaluation and assessment of the City's and FPD's progress on decree implementation, and to date, that's included, as Ms. Barton said, reviewing the use-of-force incidents and investigations at the same time the Monitoring Team is conducting their use-of-force audit. Those are incident investigations from 2019 and the first part of 2020.

We are also continuing to review FPD's response to protest activity over the summer of 2020, and this is all with an eye towards revising the current draft First Amendment policies and the in-place use-of-force policies as necessary.

We have reached out to the Neighborhood Policing
Steering Committee as well as the Civilian Review Board for
information on FPD's response to those protests in the summer
of 2020, but we always welcome public input on that activity
via email, and our email is community.ferguson@usdoj.gov.

Again, that's community.ferguson@usdoj.gov.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The first community comment that I will address is to thank Mayor Jones for her explanation of the City's efforts to retain some social work resources for the city. We think this is a welcomed development, and we are encouraged that the City is engaging FPD in those discussions because there are a number of areas of the decree that those resources will assist with, including crisis intervention, community outreach, and officer wellness. So we thank the Mayor for that submission.

In terms of the municipal court, as Ms. Barton reported out on the Comprehensive Amnesty Program, we just wanted to provide some additional background for the public here because the progress has been truly remarkable over the past year and certainly over the past reporting period. As people may remember, the Comprehensive Amnesty Program was established by the Consent Decree, and it required the City to dismiss cases initiated prior to January 1st, 2014, unless the City Prosecutor had good cause to continue the prosecution. Now, the parties worked collaboratively to develop five good-cause criteria that explained when the City could move forward with the prosecution. At recent status hearings, we've discussed good-cause criteria number two, which permitted the City to keep open cases where there was a victim who was available to assist with further prosecution of the The cases that or the charges, rather, that Ms. Barton

was referring to today fall under good-cause criteria number three, which lays out requirements that have to be met for the City to keep open charges for driving while suspended or driving while revoked. Ms. Barton has already provided the numbers on how many case -- on how many charges have been dismissed and how many remain open, and we understand it's going to take some time to conclude that process because for each charge dismissed the City has to send a compliance letter to the Department of Revenue to ensure that any suspensions related to the ticket are removed, and if there's a warrant associated with the ticket, that has to be canceled as well, but there's a clear path to discharging the remaining charges under good-cause criteria number three, and we just want to say that that is very, very encouraging.

We next want to turn to staffing and recruitment.

This is an area that has posed a challenge over the past year and, certainly, over the past three months, and staffing and recruitment are two issues that impact every area of compliance. So we did want to update the Court and the public on recent developments in what we hope is a path forward, and in fact, two of the community comments expressed concern about FPD's capacity recently, and we just want to let people know that we share that concern, and this is why DOJ and FPD are working hard to ensure that FPD can restore its ranks. The decree requires this, so the decree is really in alignment

with community goals of having a police department that can protect the public in a way that's consistent with the Constitution.

As is evidenced from Ms. Barton's report, FPD -- and that's everyone in the room today. Captain Dilworth, Chief McCall -- Assistant Chief McCall, Chief Armstrong, and Ms. Barton have accomplished so much with a dwindling police force in an unprecedented pandemic. That includes the small-group dialogues that Ms. Barton spoke about as well as the bias-free "Train the Trainer" program. And in February, DOJ met with the Ferguson City Council to let them know about these and other successes, but we did share our concern about what we perceive to be a staffing crisis in Ferguson Police Department, and if I may, I'd like to provide just a few examples of what -- how we see that crisis unfolding.

FPD is currently down at least six patrol officers, and there are a number of problems with this situation. It results in calls being handled by other police agencies, which has been an area of concern for members of the community. It exhausts officers in need of relief from the line during protests like those that we saw in the summer of 2020, and it inhibits FPD's ability to implement problem-solving policing strategies on top of their calls for service.

In addition, FPD currently only has one captain. It needs two more -- a captain over the Detective Bureau and then

a professional standards captain, and I want to talk about the professional standards captain position today because we really think this is critical to helping FPD advance in the compliance phase in two important areas. One is with respect to the use of force. The professional standards captain will be responsible for reviewing force investigations. This is a very important function because use-of-force reporting and review is now coming into line with not only the decree but industry standards. There's going to be a learning curve for officers and frontline supervisors. So the professional standards captain's review is going to be critical to ensuring that they're able to comply with those requirements.

And the second area where the professional standards captain can assist is with respect to training. FPD has not been able to deliver a single in-service training under the decree since the decree was entered, and this is despite having final policies on use of force; stop, search, and arrest; cameras; and accountability for quite some time.

The decree also requires FPD to designate a training coordinator, someone who can help the department develop its training plan. Now, FPD had designated a lieutenant to this position early on in compliance, but that person has since been promoted to the role of patrol captain, and with all of the responsibilities on his plate for overseeing patrol and the many, many other responsibilities he has, it's just not

tenable for him to assume this responsibility as well. And we're grateful to the Chief and Ms. Barton for stepping in when they can, but this really requires dedicated attention from someone like a professional standards captain, and indeed, smaller departments have had some success folding training responsibilities into precisely this position. FPD is poised to do the same. So we're eager to see FPD get this position on board for this reason.

In addition, FPD only has one lieutenant right now.

It needs one more. One lieutenant overseeing four patrol squads is just not enough under any measure.

We believe these shortfalls are linked in whole or in part to the salary issue, the shortfall, and this is an issue that we've discussed in many status hearings before. It implicates paragraph 283(a) of the decree, requiring the City to offer FPD salaries that place the agency in the competitive position vis-a-vis similarly situated, similarly sized agencies in St. Louis County.

With the information the City has provided over the past year, we see significant shortfalls in the salaries the City is offering at all ranks except for sergeant when compared to similarly sized agencies in the county. So just by way of example, FPD captains start at more than \$20,000 less than in comparable departments. FPD lieutenant salaries are nearly \$19,000 less than comparable departments, and in

fact, FPD lieutenants make \$10,000 less than FPD sergeants at the starting salary. And, again, sergeant is the only rank at which FPD is offering competitive salaries. It is also the only rank at which FPD is currently fully staffed.

Staffing and recruitment are addressed in a number of specific provisions of the decree, but really, it impacts the entire decree. So we think that this is a really critical area in need of attention. We hope to meet again with the City Council in the coming weeks to address these shortfalls and craft a plan forward, which we understand may ultimately need to be addressed via an upcoming budget process that begins in April and extends through June.

And if I may, Your Honor, I just have one final housekeeping matter before I conclude my remarks, and it concerns the use-of-force policies. With respect to the policy revisions that Ms. Barton referenced, I just wanted to let the Court and the public know that the language changes that were made to the use-of-force policies really concerned review and reporting, and we just added more detail about who does what when. So that's the nature of those revisions.

We also wanted to alert the public that early next week the parties will release for public and officer comment a draft policy on the Force Review Board. The Force Review Board will be an entity within FPD that will evaluate and review use-of-force investigations for more serious uses of

force. So this is a policy that will go out for both public and officer comment, and we invite everyone to provide their comments.

And if there are any questions, I'm happy to answer those.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Senier.

I guess, Mr. Carey, you know, obviously, we're going to want to hear your response on the -- on the -- the hiring and the personnel and salary issues, but do you want to wait until after you've heard from the Monitor before I ask you to make those comments?

MR. CAREY: Yeah, I think that would be -- it would probably be best to wait.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll ask the Monitor then to proceed with whatever comments she wishes to make, and then, of course, we'll come back to you, Mr. Carey.

MR. CAREY: Okay.

MS. TIDWELL: Thank you, Your Honor. And I just want to, on behalf of the Monitoring Team, welcome Chief Hampton and our new council members. We look forward to working with you going forward.

One of the -- I guess the benefit and the burden of going last is that everyone says everything or most of the things that I was planning to address, and so I guess the benefit to every one of that is that you get to -- you don't

have to hear as much from me, which I think, you know, probably makes a lot of people happy. So I'm going to just echo some of the things that the parties have said and just mention a couple of other issues.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As Your Honor stated, we did release our semiannual report and a year five work plan in -- I think after the last status hearing in January. So early February is when it was released. You know, one of the things that I just -- that was mentioned earlier that I want to highlight and bring attention to is the -- is the quickness in which the City, Ms. Herron, and the court prosecutor and the Department of Justice worked to address the issue that we raised in the report around good-cause criteria number three, and so, Your Honor, in the report, we noticed or we observed that there were a sizable number of cases left open under good-cause criteria number three and that an issue that the Monitoring Team would have to face in year five or in the upcoming court audit was to figure out how to address compliance with what the criteria reflects and sort of what that mechanism or sort of what that process would look like in terms of auditing the court's compliance with -- the City's compliance with good-cause criteria number three.

During our virtual site visit where the parties got together, everything is done via Zoom, but in the weeks following the last court hearing and after the semiannual

report was filed, we just got together via Zoom with the city prosecutor, Mr. Goodwin, and Ms. Herron and with the Department of Justice, and we talked about it, and, you know, just the work that Ms. Herron and Mr. Goodwin have done in the -- in the days and weeks after that, those meetings, has just been nothing short of remarkable, and I really applaud both of them for seizing upon the issue, taking ownership of it, and doing everything they can to -- to remove and dismiss and nolle pros a sizable chunk of those cases. I recognize that there's still some to go, but to have an issue raised in our report and then to have, essentially, you know, one meeting about it and then some discussion and to have them move on it in this way is really a testament to them, and I thank them for that.

Just to echo again what the parties have stated and Ms. Senier noted in her remarks, the Monitoring Team views the staffing issue as the most formidable issue the City currently faces. COVID-19 and other factors have consolidated public safety resources and have led to burnout, which has resulted in significant staffing shortages. And as Ms. Senier laid out, the department is hanging on, and everyone is doing more than they should have to, and everyone is doing their best. As one of the public commenters noted in her remarks, even though the City's leadership or sort of staffing at certain levels or, you know, decision-making is not necessarily within

the four corners of the Consent Decree, it certainly makes a difference in terms of implementation, the pace of implementation, and the commitment to implementation, and so I applaud the parties and the DOJ and the City Council for sitting down to talk about these issues, and I hope to hear about more progress in that area and more discussion of those topics.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We were heartened to see, as Ms. Senier mentioned, the letter from Mayor Jones about the social worker position. You'll recall, Your Honor, that we have discussed for quite some time about the need for some additional support with community engagement, and I really enjoyed hearing Ms. Senier sort of talk about how having a social worker or someone with that kind of experience could help with officer assistance and officer support and could really be useful in other areas, crisis intervention, and so many other ways, and so we look forward to having -- to the City engaging additional support to operationalize its community engagement efforts, to make it -- to sync up or sort of to make it -- to choreograph or better sort of make it a team effort and a joint effort in these community engagement efforts because the department is certainly getting out into the community, and you heard from Ms. Barton how the dialogues and all these other efforts are taking place, but, you know, having it operationalized or working from a specific community engagement plan or community

policing plan so that it's systemic and it spreads throughout the department so that the responsibility doesn't just fall to one or two officers, it is sort of a requirement for everyone, but, again, as Ms. Senier said, that can't really truly be realized if staffing levels remain where they are, and so all of these things go hand in hand, and we look forward to hearing from the City and seeing additional progress in that area.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As Your Honor mentioned, we did launch the community We had what we like to call sort of a soft launch in survey. January while the City and the Police Foundation, which sponsors or which, I guess, operates the survey -- they are the authors, and they also handle the administration of the survey. Just working out some details as to our public education campaign around the -- the survey. And so what we have now started to do is to mail out postcards to registered voters within the city. We got a sampling of voters from every ward, but we oversampled in Ward 3, which was based on last year's results where there were -- the least amount of survey respondents were from Ward 3. So these registered voters will receive a postcard that will provide a -- the URL code for the survey, to take it online. It will also -- God bless you, Your Honor. It will also contain a dedicated phone number and an email for the Monitoring Team so that if someone requests it, we can mail them a paper copy of the survey, and

they can return it in a self-addressed, stamped envelope that will be included with the survey.

In addition to that, as Ms. Barton mentioned, she and the Monitoring Team's community engagement consultant,

Dr. Leigh Anderson, will be doing some other community engagement or outreach efforts on their own. I mean together with one another in a socially distanced and safe way, and so Ms. -- Dr. Anderson will be going out in the community to hopefully gin up more survey respondents and more participation in the survey.

Since our soft launch in January, where we haven't really been able to do much promotion of it because we hadn't finalized the contract terms with the Police Foundation, we have about two hundred and forty or fifty respondents, which is -- you know, again, when we talk about sort of good news and bad news, it's more than we had all of last time with the survey, so I guess that is the good news, but we're hoping that these additional engagement efforts will get that number even further, even higher, and will also -- we'll hear from folks who we didn't get a chance to hear from last time around.

And then last, Your Honor, Ms. Barton mentioned the City's work to provide the Monitoring Team and DOJ with information around the use-of-force audit, and so we did get all of the reports and camera footage and other supporting

documents that we requested in the initial phase or phase -Phase II was our first document request from the City in the
use-of-force audit. We will now be moving through our next
phase where we will ask for reports for incidents or arrests
where there was -- where there does not appear to be a
use-of-force report accompanying the particular incident or
arrest, and so the idea behind that would be to review a
narrative of these arrests or incident reports to get a sense
of whether it appears that force was utilized and then to -you know, if there was no use-of-force report filed, then that
would obviously be a data point for the Monitoring Team in its
audit, and so that's sort of the next level of our -- of our
review under the use-of-force audit, and we'll be getting that
notice out to the City relatively shortly.

We'll also be starting a -- an audit in the accountability section. So we have a -- a chart or a table of the internal investigations conducted by the City in 2019 and 2020. As part of our audit, we will probably be requesting all of those investigations. In addition -- and I noticed in the public comment that we heard from the Civilian Review Board, and they informed us, which was great to hear, that they had completed review of, I think, two or three police internal investigations. So the CRB's work will be part of this upcoming accountability audit as well.

We -- I don't want to delve too much into the details

of the semiannual report other than to sort of say the things that we've done since then. We will be conducting another virtual town hall to talk through the semiannual report and things that have happened since then, and we are planning to send notice out to the community that that event will take place on April 22nd. That's a Thursday evening, and we'll send out notice via the Monitor listserv, and we'll ask the City and the Department of Justice to do the same.

And I think that that -- oh, and one additional audit will be conducting an audit in the municipal court this spring. Part of that audit was -- was mainly geared towards good-cause criteria number three, but it's great to -- to see that a lot of that has resolved itself, but we'll look at the other areas of the Consent Decree within the municipal court, and we'll send a notice of an audit in that area for this spring as well.

And I think that's it for me, Your Honor, unless you have questions.

THE COURT: I appreciate that. I think you've provided us with a lot of information about what's going on, and as -- as you mentioned and as I did before, for things up until the February date when the report was filed, members of the public can look at that as well as the work plan, and then I'm glad to hear that you're having this April 22nd meeting that will be available to the public. I guess they can

participate by video, correct, and then that would -- if they wanted to review, you know, what the status is and where it's going. I know some of the comments that we did receive, you know, were critical of the process, which is not unusual, and that people, you know, think it's time for this to be over, and I think seeing the work that is still being done by looking at the work plan and listening to the reports may help people understand why this is still going on and how important it is.

So, Mr. Carey, I would ask you to make any comments about either of the presentations, and obviously, everyone's concerned -- as you know, we all are -- about the staffing situation, and we do understand, you know, why it exists, but we'd like to hear any response you have on that but anything else you'd like to say as well.

MR. CAREY: Yes, Your Honor. You know, I think you heard at the beginning of the Department of Justice's presentation about that issue. You heard that they met with the council back in February to discuss those, the staffing and recruitment issues, as well as, you know, talk about their concerns, and, you know, they -- that meeting pretty much mirrored what you heard from the Department of Justice today in terms of the message that they wanted to get across to the council. I can tell you that that message was received by our council and it was well taken by our council, and, you know, I

think this issue -- as you can kind of see, it's more of a structural issue. The salary issue is more of a structural issue than it is anything else. I mean, you know, if we're in a situation where we have, you know, lieutenant -- sergeants making more than lieutenants and, you know, captains being \$20,000 below, you know, similarly situated departments, you know, that's something that needs to be fixed, and I think the council was made aware of that by the DOJ, and to be quite frank with you, they were made aware of that by Chief Armstrong prior to the DOJ's meeting, and so what we are hopeful will happen, Your Honor, in the next few months, is that the City will be engaging in its annual fiscal year-end budgeting process, and so, essentially, that is where the City looks at all of its resources, decides, you know, how those resources should be allocated to accomplish the goals of the next fiscal year, and so what we're hopeful will happen is that, you know, these -- this structural issue can be broken down into actual dollar value so we can sort of see what needs to happen in order to raise the captain and lieutenant pay to where it should be for compliance purposes and that be presented to, you know, the council for consideration in this budgeting process because the issue needs to be fixed once and for all. You know, it's one thing to say, well, you know, we can just sort of go out and hire somebody at, you know, a higher rate, but, you know, if we don't actually fix the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

structure itself, then, you know, at some point later down the line, we could fall back into having this disparity, and we'd just -- we'd really like, you know, obviously, to avoid that. So I think this is an issue, in terms of the salary, that is probably best solved or at least analyzed within the context of the City budget, and so we're hopeful that FPD and the City Council will work closely together in the next couple months to -- to sort of figure that out once and for all.

Since we last spoke with you, Your Honor, last month, obviously, the public wasn't necessarily involved in that, but there has been some positive steps taken towards filling some of the open positions here in FPD. You know, one of the --both -- the professional standards captain position, there's been some very positive steps since we last spoke that we are -- and we're extremely hopeful and optimistic that that position could potentially be filled here in the next -- in the very, very near future as well as one of our lieutenant positions. There's been some positive steps in filling one of those positions as well.

So, you know, as you know, Your Honor, we've -- you know, we've been working together on this the last five years or so. Staffing just seems to always kind of rear its head as an issue that we have, whether it's we don't have a police chief, we don't have a city manager, or, you know, we just need more officers within FPD. So it's just something that we

1 deal with and that we have to wrestle with, but, you know, I 2 can -- and speaking on behalf of our council, I can tell you that the council is aware of these issues and, Your Honor, 3 will do -- you know, in the next coming months, will do 4 5 everything that they can to address this stuff from a policy 6 perspective, set the policy that needs to be set, and then, 7 you know, have our staff execute. 8 So that's it. That's all I have on that issue. 9 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything further from either the Department of Justice or the Monitor? 10 11 Or did I cut you off? 12 MS. SENIER: Nothing further, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Did I cut you off too soon, Mr. Carey? 14 Were you going to make any other comments about anything else? 15 MR. CAREY: No, ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. And from the Monitor, anything 16 17 further? 18 MS. TIDWELL: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I -- I want to start by 20 thanking the members of the public for their continuing 21 interest in this process. I can see from the participants and 22 the people paying attention to this that you all are paying 23 attention, and I am very glad that the public remains involved 24 in the process, and I would urge you all to do whatever you 25 can to be involved, both through the various community

activities and things that are being promoted by Ms. Barton and others as well as, of course, participating in the survey, and so I want to thank the public, first and foremost, for being here and for continuing to be patient with this process. I understand the frustration expressed in some of the comments that it seems like it's taking a long time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Some of the comments think this process is -- is causing the financial problems. I assure you that's not the -- the driving issue. The driving issue are the things that the parties have indicated, and I think that this process is really necessary to see that we continue to see the improvement that we have seen already. It's -- it's pretty --I mean during the course of this Consent Decree, there have been major strides made by the City. The municipal court is amazing and has done a great job in improving. I know there are still complaints about municipal court, and I do understand that. We didn't actually get any in this batch of complaints, but as a judge, I know there are always complaints about courts, and that's partly because it's a very unpleasant situation for a lot of people, but it also is something that all courts can always do better, and I'm really pleased with the administration's efforts to improve their municipal courts, which I think have been, you know, quite good here, but, of course, we can always do better, and sometimes we -sometimes courts screw up, and we don't always -- it's not

always a pleasant experience for everyone, but that doesn't mean it's not functioning the way it should.

And then on the -- with the police department, I think they've made great strides. I'm very pleased to hear that the -- that there's optimism in terms of the professional standards captain position being filled at some point in the near future and also some of the other positions. I do think that it's something that I know Mr. Carey and the people who have participated in this process are very aware of, and I'm sure that the -- that those people at the City are doing what they need to do to educate the new members of your council as -- you know, as -- as the world goes on, as it does with political changes, to make sure that everyone in the position to be making decisions on this are fully informed of what's going on, and I'm encouraged by all of that.

I do -- I do want to thank, I guess, first of all,

Interim -- I guess you said acting Interim City Manager, Chief

Hampton, for stepping in and adding another -- another title

to your very busy role already. I know it's very important

the City has someone in your position, and I hope that -
that -- I hope you'll be relieved of that by the hiring of

the -- of the new city manager soon, and I know the City is

working on that.

And I do want to thank the other people who have spent so much time on this: Chief Armstrong, Assistant Chief

McCall, Captain Dilworth, Ms. Barton, Ms. Herron, and
Mr. Goodwin, the prosecutor. And I just think that everybody
has worked hard on this, and I want the members of the public
to know that, and I know I've said this before and it begins
to sound repetitive, but that the parties to this case, the
City, the Department of Justice, and with the assistance of
the Monitor and the oversight by the Monitor, have been
working very hard on this to make progress. It's just not as
easy as we'd all like it to be.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I do spend a fair amount of time talking to these parties very informally as this process has been going on, and that has been a great benefit to me to keep me advised of what's going on, and we're having these -- these quarterly hearings in hopes that the public can continue to be informed. We are making progress. As always, I have to say it's not as fast as we'd like, but that's -- that's the way it is. think under the terms of the pandemic, which have affected everyone in our society so severely, that the City's done a very good job, and I think that that's -- they're to be credited for being able to keep the momentum going in this process even though we had the -- the difficulties we've had in the past 12 months or 14 months, I guess, with the inability to meet in groups, which is very much what Ms. Barton and the City have been trying to do or had been trying to do right when all this happened. So I think that

everybody's working hard to do this, just like I know everybody else, you know, all the members of the public are doing in their own personal lives. It's been hard to cope this last year, but we have continued to make progress, and I do think that's something that everyone in this process should be commended for.

So with that said, if there's nothing further from the lawyers or the Monitor, the parties or the Monitor, then I'll -- I see no hands waving, so I'm assuming, as we say to the juries, if I don't see your hand waving, I assume you don't have a response. They're not waving their hands. So I will thank you, all. We will have another hearing. Like I say, I'm hoping that -- I don't know -- by the summer, maybe we can do that one in person.

I know -- I know within the judiciary we're not making big plans for the summer yet. We are making big plans for the fall. We're hopeful for the summer, but we're more hopeful that by the fall we'll be able to do it. So we might end up having to do one more of these virtually, but we'll see how things stand with the pandemic and with, you know, vaccination rates and how the disease is progressing in the community before we make those final decisions, but I'm hopeful. I'm fairly confident by, you know, the fall we'll be okay too, but I want to have -- you know, for our hearing in the summer, I hope we'll be able to be in person, but if not,

```
39
     we'll do it this way again. So with that said, thank you,
 1
 2
     all, and court is in recess.
              MS. TIDWELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
 4
              THE COURT: Thank you.
 5
          (Proceedings concluded at 11:57 a.m.)
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, Gayle D. Madden, Registered Diplomate Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter, hereby certify that I am a duly appointed Official Court Reporter of the United States

District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri.

I further certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings held in the above-entitled case and that said transcript is a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes.

I further certify that this transcript contains pages 1 through 39 inclusive.

Dated at St. Louis, Missouri, this 9th day of April, 2021.

/s/ Gayle D. Madden

GAYLE D. MADDEN, CSR, RDR, CRR
Official Court Reporter