

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
PATENT RULES
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1. SCOPE OF RULES

- 1-1. Title
- 1-2. Scope and Construction

2. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 2-1. Governing Procedure
- 2-2. Confidentiality
- 2-3. Relationship to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

3. PATENT INITIAL DISCLOSURES

- 3-1. Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions
- 3-2. Document Production Accompanying Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions
- 3-3. Preliminary Counter-Infringement Contentions
- 3-4. Preliminary Invalidity Contentions
- 3-5. Document Production Accompanying Preliminary Invalidity Contentions
- 3-6. Disclosure Requirement in Patent Cases for Declaratory Judgment
- 3-7. Final Contentions
- 3-8. Amendment to Contentions
- 3-9. Willfulness

4. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION PROCEEDINGS

- 4-1. Exchange of Proposed Terms and Claim Elements for Construction
- 4-2. Exchange of Preliminary Claim Constructions and Extrinsic Evidence
- 4-3. Joint Claim Construction and Joint Prehearing Statement
- 4-4. Completion of Claim Construction Discovery
- 4-5. Claim Construction Briefs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
PATENT RULES**

1. SCOPE OF RULES

1-1. Title.

These are the Rules of Practice for Patent Cases pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. They should be cited as “Local Patent R. -.”

1-2. Scope and Construction.

(a) **Utility Patents.** These rules apply to all civil actions filed in or transferred to this Court which allege infringement of a utility patent in a complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim or third party claim, or which seek a declaratory judgment that a utility patent is not infringed, is invalid or is unenforceable. The Court may accelerate, extend, eliminate, or modify the obligations or deadlines set forth in these Local Patent Rules based on the circumstances of any particular case. The Local Rules of this Court shall also apply to these actions, except to the extent they are inconsistent with these Local Patent Rules.

(b) **Design Patents.** These rules do not specifically apply to civil actions filed in or transferred to this Court which allege infringement of a design patent. The parties in a design patent litigation may, however, consider whether some or all of the below Local Patent Rules should be adopted to address infringement contentions, invalidity contentions, or claim construction matters in cases involving one or more design patents. These considerations shall be addressed by the parties when they confer pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and raised with the Court at the Rule 16 Scheduling Conference.

2. GENERAL PROVISIONS

2-1. Governing Procedure.

Rule 16 Scheduling Conference. When the parties confer pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), in addition to the matters covered by Rule 26, the parties must discuss and address the following topics in the Joint Proposed Scheduling Plan filed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f):

(a) Any proposed modification of the deadlines provided for in the Local Patent Rules, and the effect of any such modification on the date and time of any claim construction hearing;

- (b) Whether the Court will hold a claim construction hearing and, if so, whether it will receive live testimony, deposition testimony, or other evidence at the hearing;
- (c) If applicable, the scheduling of any claim construction prehearing conference to be held after the Joint Claim Construction Chart and Joint Prehearing Statement provided for in Local Patent R. 4-3 has been filed;
- (d) The need for and any specific limits on discovery relating to claim construction, including depositions of fact witnesses and expert witnesses;
- (e) Whether discovery should be conducted in stages, for example, whether only claim construction discovery should be taken before the Court's Claim Construction Ruling or whether discovery should otherwise be limited prior to such a ruling;
- (f) Whether the parties should provide a tutorial to the Court concerning the technology at issue, including whether any such tutorial should be provided before any claim construction hearing; and
- (g) The content of any protective order that should govern the case, including whether the Court's Form Protective Order (Appendix A) should be adopted in whole or in part; and what, if any, disputes exist regarding the final content of any such protective order.

2-2. Confidentiality.

If any document or information produced in Patent Litigation is deemed confidential by the producing party and if the Court has not entered a protective order, the producing party shall mark the document Confidential--Outside Attorneys' Eyes Only and, until such time as the Court enters a protective order, disclosure of the confidential document or information shall be limited to each party's outside attorney(s) of record, the employees of such outside attorney(s), and necessary outside document services, unless the parties agree to the contrary. The person(s) to whom disclosure of a confidential document or information is made under this rule shall keep it confidential and use it only for the purpose of litigating the case.

2-3. Relationship to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Except as provided in this paragraph or as otherwise ordered, it shall not be a legitimate ground for objecting to an opposing party's discovery request (*e.g.*, interrogatory, document request, request for admission, deposition question) or declining to provide information otherwise required to be disclosed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), that the discovery request or disclosure requirement is premature in light of, or otherwise conflicts with, these Local Patent Rules. A party may object, however, to responding to the following categories of discovery requests (or decline to provide information in its initial disclosures under Fed R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)) on the ground that they are premature in light of the timetable provided in these Local Patent Rules:

- (a) Requests seeking to elicit a party's claim construction position;
- (b) Requests seeking to elicit from a party claiming patent infringement ("Patent Claimant") a comparison of the asserted claims and the accused apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality, or counter-invalidity contentions;
- (c) Requests seeking to elicit from a party opposing a claim of patent infringement ("Alleged Infringer") a comparison of the asserted claims and the prior art, invalidity contentions, or counter-infringement contentions; and
- (d) Requests seeking to elicit from an Alleged Infringer the identification of any opinions of counsel, and related documents, that it intends to rely upon as a defense to an allegation of willful infringement.

Where a party properly objects to a discovery request (or declines to provide information in its initial disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)) as set forth in this subsection 2-3, that party shall provide the requested information on the date on which it is required to provide the requested information to an opposing party under these Local Patent Rules, unless there exists another legitimate ground for objection.

3. PATENT INITIAL DISCLOSURES

3-1. Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions.

(a) Not later than 21 days after the initial Rule 16 Scheduling Conference, a Patent Claimant must serve on all parties a Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions. Separately for each Alleged Infringer, the Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions shall contain the following information:

(i) Each claim of each patent-in-suit that is allegedly infringed by each Alleged Infringer;

(ii) Separately for each asserted claim, a specific identification of each accused apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality (“Accused Instrumentality”) of each Alleged Infringer of which the party is aware. Each product, device, and apparatus must be identified by name or model number, if known. Each method or process must be identified by name, if known, or by any product, device, or apparatus which, when used, allegedly results in the practice of the claimed method or process;

(iii) A chart identifying specifically where each element of each asserted claim is found within each Accused Instrumentality, including for each element that such party contends is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6), the identity of the structure(s), act(s), or material(s) in the Accused Instrumentality that performs the claimed function;

(iv) Whether each element of each asserted claim is claimed to be literally present or present under the doctrine of equivalents in the Accused Instrumentality;

(v) For each claim that is alleged to be indirectly infringed, an identification of any direct infringement and a description of the acts of the alleged indirect infringer that contribute to or are inducing that direct infringement. If the alleged direct infringement is based on joint acts of multiple parties, the role of each such party in the direct infringement must be described;

(vi) For any patent that claims priority to an earlier application, the priority date to which each asserted claim allegedly is entitled;

(vii) If a Patent Claimant wishes to preserve the right to rely, for any purpose, on the assertion that its own apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality practices the claimed invention, the party must identify and produce documents sufficient to show, separately for each asserted claim, each such apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality that incorporates or reflects that particular claim; and

(viii) In patent cases arising under 21 U.S.C. § 355, at or before the initial Rule 16 Scheduling Conference, the Alleged Infringer shall produce to the Patent Claimant the entire Abbreviated New Drug Application or New Drug Application that is the basis of the case. The Court recognizes that, in cases brought under 21 U.S.C. § 355, scheduling and sequencing provisions distinct from those set forth in these Local Patent Rules may be appropriate.

(b) Not later than 28 days after service upon it of documents accompanying the Preliminary Invalidity Contentions pursuant to Rule 3-5 below, a Patent Claimant may amend its Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions if the Patent Claimant believes in good faith that the documents produced by the Alleged Infringer necessitate such an amendment. The Patent Claimant shall serve on the Alleged Infringer a Notice of Amended Preliminary Infringement Contentions, including a statement of reasons why the Patent Claimant believes such amendment is warranted under this subsection.

3-2. Document Production Accompanying Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions.

With the Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions, the Patent Claimant must produce to each Alleged Infringer or make available for inspection and copying:

(a) Documents (*e.g.*, contracts, purchase orders, invoices, advertisements, marketing materials, offer letters, beta site testing agreements, and third party or joint development agreements) sufficient to evidence (i) each discussion with, disclosure to, or other manner of providing to a third party the claimed invention prior to the date of application for the patent(s)-in-suit, or (ii) the sale of or offer to sell the claimed invention prior to the date of application for the patent(s)-in-suit and/or the priority date identified pursuant to Local Patent R. 3-1(vi), whichever is earlier. A party's production of a document as required herein shall not constitute an admission that such document evidences or is prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102;

(b) All documents evidencing the conception, reduction to practice, design, and development of each claimed invention, which were created on or before the date of application for the patent(s)-in-suit and/or the priority date identified pursuant to Local Patent R. 3-1(vi), whichever is earlier; and

(c) A copy of the file history for each patent-in-suit.

The Patent Claimant shall separately identify by production number which documents correspond to each of the above categories. The Patent Claimant shall timely supplement document production under this Local Patent R. 3-2 as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; however, the act of supplementation does not of itself permit amendment of the Patent Claimant's Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions, which amendment shall instead be governed by Local Patent R. 3-1(b) and 3-7(a).

3-3. Preliminary Counter-Infringement Contentions.

Not later than 28 days after service upon it of the Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions, each Alleged Infringer shall serve on all parties its Preliminary Counter-Infringement Contentions, indicating which elements from each asserted patent claim that it admits are present in any Accused Instrumentality, and which it contends are absent. As to absent elements, the Alleged Infringer shall set forth in detail the basis for its contention that the element is absent.

3-4. Preliminary Invalidity Contentions.

Not later than 49 days after service upon it of the Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions, each Alleged Infringer shall serve on all parties its Preliminary Invalidity Contentions, which must contain the following information:

(a) The identity of each item of prior art, including patents, printed publications, prior public use, and prior on sale activity, that allegedly anticipate(s) each asserted claim or render(s) it obvious. Each prior art patent shall be identified by its number, country of origin, and date of issuance. Each prior art publication must be identified by its title, date of publication, and where feasible, author and publisher. Prior use under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) or (b), or on sale activity under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), shall be identified by specifying the item offered for sale or publicly used or known, the date the offer or use took place or the information became known, and the identity of the person or entity which made the use or which made and received the offer, or the person or entity which made the information known or to whom it was made known. Prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(g) shall be identified by providing the identity of the person(s) or entities involved in

and the circumstances surrounding the making of the invention before the patent applicant(s);

(b) On a claim-by-claim basis, whether each item of prior art anticipates each asserted claim or renders it obvious. If a combination of items of prior art makes a claim obvious, each such combination, and the basis for such allegation, must be identified;

(c) A chart identifying where specifically in each alleged item of prior art each element of each asserted claim is found, including for each element that such party contends is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6), the identity of the structure(s), act(s), or material(s) in each item of prior art that performs the claimed function;

(d) Any grounds of invalidity under 35 U.S.C. § 102(c) shall be identified by providing a description of the facts supporting the allegation of abandonment; any grounds of invalidity under 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) shall be identified by providing the name of the person(s) from whom and the circumstances under which the invention or any part of it was derived; and

(e) Any grounds of invalidity based on indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112(2) or enablement, written description, or failure to describe the best mode under 35 U.S.C. § 112(1) of any of the asserted claims.

3-5. Document Production Accompanying Preliminary Invalidity Contentions.

With the Preliminary Invalidity Contentions, the Alleged Infringer must produce or make available for inspection and copying:

(a) Source code, specifications, schematics, flow charts, artwork, formulas, or other documentation sufficient to show the operation of any aspects or elements of an Accused Instrumentality identified by the Patent Claimant in its Local Patent R. 3-1(a)(iii) chart. If the Patent Claimant contends that compliance with this section requires the production of particular documentation (*e.g.*, source code), the Alleged Infringer may request, and the Patent Claimant is obliged to produce, the same information as to any apparatus, product, device, process, method, act or other instrumentality that it has identified pursuant to Local Patent R. 3-1(a)(vii), above; and

(b) A copy of each item of prior art identified pursuant to Local Patent R. 3-4(a) which does not appear in the file history of the patent(s) at issue. To the extent any such item is not in English, an English translation of the portion(s) relied upon must be produced.

(c) The Alleged Infringer shall timely supplement document production under this Local Patent R. 3-5 as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; however, the act of supplementation does not of itself permit amendment of the Alleged Infringer's

Preliminary Counter-Infringement Contentions or Preliminary Invalidity Contentions, which amendment shall instead be governed by Local Patent R. 3-7(a) and (b).

3-6. Disclosure Requirement in Patent Cases for Declaratory Judgment.

(a) Invalidation Contentions If No Claim of Infringement. In all cases in which a party files a complaint or other pleading seeking a declaratory judgment that a patent is not infringed, is invalid, or is unenforceable, Local Patent R. 3-1 and 3-2 shall not apply unless and until a claim for patent infringement is made by a party. If the defendant in such a declaratory judgment lawsuit does not assert a claim for patent infringement in its answer to the complaint, no later than 14 days after the defendant serves its answer, or 14 days after the initial Rule 16 Scheduling Conference, whichever is later, the party seeking a declaratory judgment of invalidity (on that basis alone or among other bases) must serve upon each opposing party its Preliminary Invalidation Contentions that conform to Local Patent R. 3-4 and produce or make available for inspection and copying the documents described in Local Patent R. 3-5. The parties shall meet and confer within 14 days of service of the Preliminary Invalidation Contentions for the purpose of determining the date on which the plaintiff will file its Final Invalidation Contentions, which shall be no later than 49 days after filing by the Court of its Claim Construction Ruling.

(b) Inapplicability of Rule. This Local Patent R. 3-6 shall not apply to cases in which a request for a declaratory judgment that a patent is not infringed, is invalid, or is unenforceable is filed in response to a claim alleging infringement of the same patent.

3-7. Final Contentions.

The Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions and Preliminary Invalidation Contentions shall be deemed to be that party's final contentions, except as set forth below.

(a) If a Patent Claimant believes in good faith that the Court's Claim Construction Ruling necessitates a modification of its preliminary position, that party may serve Final Infringement Contentions without leave of Court that amend its Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions with respect to the information required by Local Patent R. 3-1(a)(iii), (iv) and (v). The Patent Claimant may seek leave of Court, for good cause shown, to assert infringement with respect to an Accused Instrumentality not disclosed in the Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions. Any Final Infringement Contentions must be served no later than 28 days after the Court files its Claim Construction Ruling. Any Alleged Infringer may serve Final Counter-Infringement Contentions without leave of Court that amend its Preliminary Counter-Infringement Contentions served previously pursuant to Local Patent R. 3-3 not later than (i) 21 days after service upon it of any Final Infringement Contentions pursuant to this Local Patent R. 3-7(a), or (ii) in the absence of Final Infringement Contentions, and upon a good faith belief that the Court's Claim

Construction Ruling necessitates a modification of its preliminary position, not later than 49 days after the Court files its Claim Construction Ruling.

b) Not later than 49 days after the Court files its Claim Construction Ruling, each Alleged Infringer may serve Final Invalidity Contentions without leave of Court that amend its Preliminary Invalidity Contentions with respect to the information required by Local Patent R. 3-4 if: (i) a Patent Claimant has served Final Infringement Contentions pursuant to Local Patent R. 3-7(a), or (ii) the Alleged Infringer believes in good faith that the Court's Claim Construction Ruling necessitates a modification of its preliminary position. Leave of Court upon good cause shown is required to the extent the Alleged Infringer seeks to rely on prior art not disclosed in the Preliminary Invalidity Contentions.

(c) Not later than 77 days after the Court files its Claim Construction Ruling, each Patent Claimant must serve on all parties its Counter-Invalidity Contentions, which must state in detail its position on: (i) whether the purported prior art relied upon by an Alleged Infringer does indeed constitute statutory prior art, (ii) whether and how its interpretation of the prior art differs from that of the party opposing a claim of patent infringement, and (iii) its position on why the prior art does not invalidate any of the claims at issue. Notwithstanding the requirements of this Local Patent R. 3-7(c), a Patent Claimant may include the contentions that are otherwise required by this Rule in a Written Report that complies with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) by the deadline set forth in the Case Management Order for service of rebuttal expert reports.

3-8. Amendment to Contentions.

Amendment or modification of (i) the Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions, Preliminary Counter-Infringement Contentions, or the Preliminary Invalidity Contentions (other than as expressly permitted by Local Patent R. 3-1(b) or 3-7, above), or (ii) the Final Infringement Contentions, Final Counter-Infringement Contentions, or the Final Invalidity Contentions, may only be made by order of the Court, upon a showing of good cause. The application for amendment shall disclose whether the adverse party consents or objects.

3-9. Willfulness.

Not later than 49 days after filing by the Court of its Claim Construction Ruling, each Alleged Infringer that will rely on an opinion of counsel as part of a defense to a claim of willful infringement shall:

(a) Produce or make available for inspection and copying the opinion(s) and any other documents relating to the opinion(s) as to which the attorney-client privilege or work product rule has been waived;

(b) Detail in writing any oral advice and produce or make available for inspection and copying documents related thereto for which the attorney-client privilege or work product rule has been waived; and (c) Serve a privilege log identifying any other documents, except those authored by counsel who has acted solely as litigation counsel, relating to the subject matter of the opinion(s) which the party is withholding on the grounds of attorney-client privilege or work product rule.

An Alleged Infringer that does not comply with the requirements of this Rule shall not be permitted to rely on an opinion of counsel as part of a defense to willful infringement, (i) absent a stipulation of all parties, or (ii) by order of the Court, which shall be entered only upon a showing of good cause.

4. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION PROCEEDINGS

4-1. Exchange of Proposed Terms and Claim Elements for Construction.

(a) Not later than 42 days after service of the Preliminary Invalidity Contentions pursuant to Local Patent R. 3-4, the parties shall simultaneously exchange Proposed Terms and Claim Elements for Construction, which shall include (i) a list of claim terms, phrases, or clauses which that party contends should be construed by the Court, and (ii) the identification of any claim element which that party contends should be governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).

(b) Within 7 days thereafter, the parties shall meet and confer in good faith for the purpose of narrowing the claim terms to be construed, narrowing or resolving differences, and facilitating the ultimate preparation of a Joint Claim Construction Chart and Joint Prehearing Statement, as set forth in Subsection 4-3, below.

4-2. Exchange of Preliminary Claim Constructions and Extrinsic Evidence.

(a) Not later than 21 days after the exchange of Proposed Terms and Claim Elements for Construction pursuant to Local Patent R. 4-1, the parties shall simultaneously exchange a preliminary proposed construction (“Preliminary Claim Construction”) that shall address each claim term, phrase, or clause that the parties have identified for claim construction purposes. Each such Preliminary Claim Construction shall also, for each element which any party contends is governed by 35 U. S. C. § 112(6), specifically identify the asserted function and shall also identify by column: line number and specific description, the structure(s), act(s), or material(s) corresponding to that element.

(b) At the same time the parties exchange their respective Preliminary Claim Constructions, they shall each also provide a preliminary identification of extrinsic evidence, including without limitation, dictionary definitions, citations to learned treatises and prior art, and testimony of fact and expert witnesses they intend to advance in support of their respective claim constructions. The parties shall identify each such item of extrinsic evidence by production number or produce a copy of any such item not previously produced. With respect to any such witness -- fact or expert -- the parties shall also provide a brief description of the substance of that witness’ proposed testimony.

(c) Within 7 days thereafter, the parties shall meet and confer in good faith to discuss, among other topics, each of the claim elements the parties have identified in their Proposed Terms and Claim Elements for Construction, for the purpose of narrowing the issues and preparing a Joint Claim Construction Chart and Joint Prehearing Statement, as set forth in Local Patent R. 4-3, below.

4-3. Joint Claim Construction Chart and Joint Prehearing Statement.

Unless the Court has ordered that no claim construction hearing will be held, the parties shall, not later than 49 days after the exchange of the Proposed Terms and Claim Elements for Construction pursuant to Local Patent R. 4-1, complete and file a Joint Claim Construction Chart and Joint Prehearing Statement, which shall contain the following information:

(a) The Joint Claim Construction Chart shall be in the format set forth in Appendix B, containing: (i) a column listing complete language of disputed claims with the disputed terms in bold type and separate columns for each party's proposed construction of each disputed term; (ii) a column to be used for indicating whether the parties agree on the claim construction for a disputed term; and (iii) a column for each party's proposed construction of each disputed claim term, phrase, or clause, including all references from the specification or prosecution history that support that construction, and identifying any extrinsic evidence known to the party on which it intends to rely either to support its proposed construction of the claim or to oppose any party's proposed construction of the claim, including, but not limited to, as permitted by law, dictionary definitions, citations to learned treatises, and prior art, and testimony of percipient and expert witnesses.

(b) The Joint Prehearing Statement must set forth: (i) the anticipated length of time necessary for a claim construction hearing; (ii) whether any party proposes to call any witnesses, including experts, at the claim construction hearing, (iii) the identity of each such witness, (iv) for each witness, a summary of anticipated testimony in sufficient detail to permit a meaningful deposition of that witness and, as to witnesses who may present evidence under Fed. R. Evid. 702, 703 or 705, a report complying with the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B); (v) the order of presentation at the claim construction hearing; and (vi) a list of any other issues which might appropriately be taken up at a prehearing conference prior to the claim construction hearing, and proposed dates, if not previously set, for any such prehearing conference.

4-4. Completion of Claim Construction Discovery.

Not later than 28 days after service and filing of the Joint Claim Construction Chart and Joint Prehearing Statement, the parties shall complete all discovery relating to claim construction, including any depositions with respect to claim construction of any witnesses, including experts, identified in the Joint Claim Construction Chart and Joint Prehearing Statement.

4-5. Claim Construction Briefs.

(a) Not later than 49 days after serving and filing the Joint Claim Construction Chart and Joint Prehearing Statement, each party shall serve and file a motion for claim construction, a memorandum in support, and any evidence supporting its claim construction.

(b) Not later than 14 days after service upon it of a motion for claim construction, each party shall serve and file its responsive memorandum and supporting evidence.

APPENDIX A

FORM PROTECTIVE ORDER

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI**

[NAME OF PARTY])	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No.:
)	
vs.)	
)	
[NAME OF PARTY])	STIPULATION FOR
)	PROTECTIVE ORDER
Defendant.)	

Upon stipulation of the parties for an Order pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c) that trade secret or other confidential information be disclosed only in designated ways:

1. As used in the Protective Order, these terms have the following meanings:
 - (a) “Attorneys” means counsel of record;
 - (b) “Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” documents are the subset of confidential documents designated pursuant to Paragraph 5;
 - (c) “Documents” are all materials within the scope of Fed. R. Civ. 34;
 - (d) “Written Assurance” means an executed document in the form attached as Exhibit A.

2. By identifying a document as “Confidential,” a party may designate any document, including interrogatory response, other discovery response, and/or transcript, that it, in good faith, contends constitutes or contains trade secret or other confidential information.

3. All confidential documents, along with the information contained in the documents, shall be used solely for the purpose of this action, and no person receiving such documents shall, directly or indirectly, transfer, disclose, or communicate in any way the contents of the documents to any person other than those specified in Paragraph 4. Prohibited purposes include, but are not limited to, use for competitive purposes or the prosecution of additional intellectual property rights.

4. Access to any confidential document shall be limited to:

- (a) The Court and its personnel;
- (b) Attorneys of record and their office associates, legal assistants, and stenographic and clerical employees;
- (c) Persons shown on the face of the document to have authored or received it;
- (d) Court reporters retained to transcribe testimony;

[Optional: (e) These inside counsel: [names]:]

[Optional: (f) These employees of the parties: [names]:]

- (g) Outside independent persons (*i.e.*, persons not currently or formerly employed by, consulting with, or otherwise associated with any party) who are used by a party or its attorneys to furnish technical or expert services, or to provide assistance as mock jurors or focus group members or the like, and/or to give testimony in this action.

5. The parties shall have the right to further designate confidential documents or portions of documents [optional: in the areas of [identify]] as “Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only.” Disclosure of such information shall be limited to the persons designated in Paragraphs 4(a), (b), (c), (d), and (g).

6. Third parties producing documents in the course of this action may also designate documents as “Confidential” or “Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only,” subject to the same protections and constraints as the parties to the action. A copy of the Protective Order shall be served along with any subpoena served in connection with this action. All documents produced by such third parties, even if not designated by such third parties as “Confidential” or “Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only,” shall be treated by the parties to this action as “Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” for a period of 15 days from the date of their production. During that 15 day period, any party may designate such documents as “Confidential” or “Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” pursuant to the terms of the Protective Order.

7. Each person who is to receive confidential information, pursuant to Paragraph 4(g), shall execute a “Written Assurance” in the form attached as Exhibit A. Opposing counsel shall be notified at least 11 days prior to disclosure to any such person who is known to be an employee or agent of, or consultant to, any competitor of the party whose designated documents are sought to be disclosed. Such notice shall provide a reasonable description of the outside independent person to whom disclosure is sought sufficient to permit objection to be made. If a party objects in writing to such disclosure within 10 days after receipt of notice, no disclosure shall be made until the party seeking disclosure obtains the prior approval of the Court or the objecting party.

8. All depositions or portions of depositions taken in this action that contain trade secret or other confidential information may be designated “Confidential” or “Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” and thereby obtain the protections accorded other “Confidential” or “Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” documents. Confidentiality designations for depositions shall be made either on the record or by written notice to the other party within 10 days of receipt of the final transcript. Unless otherwise agreed depositions shall be treated as “Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” until 10 days after receipt of the final transcript. The deposition of any witness (or any portion of such deposition) that includes confidential information shall be taken only in the presence of persons who are qualified to have access to such information.

9. Any party who inadvertently fails to identify documents as “Confidential” or “Confidential-Attorneys’ Eyes Only” shall have 10 days from the discovery of its oversight to correct its failure. Such failure shall be corrected by providing written notice of the error and substituting copies of the inadvertently produced documents bearing appropriate confidentiality designations. Any party receiving such inadvertently unmarked documents shall make reasonable efforts to retrieve documents distributed to persons not entitled to receive documents with the corrected designation.

10. Any party who inadvertently discloses documents that are privileged or otherwise immune from discovery shall, promptly upon discovery of such inadvertent disclosure, so advise the receiving party and request that the documents be returned. The receiving party shall return such inadvertently produced documents, including all copies, within 10 days of receiving such a written request. The party returning such inadvertently produced documents may thereafter seek

reproduction of any such documents pursuant to applicable law. 11. If a party intends to file a document containing confidential information with the Court, this Protective Order grants leave to make such filing under seal in compliance with Local Rule 13.05(A) and/or the Case Management/Electronic Case Filing Procedures Manual. Prior to disclosure at trial or a hearing of materials or information designated “Confidential” or “Confidential-Attorneys’ Eyes Only,” the parties may seek further protections against public disclosure from the Court.

12. Any party may request a change in the designation of any information designated “Confidential” and/or “Confidential-Attorneys’ Eyes Only.” Any such document shall be treated as designated until the change is completed. If the requested change in designation is not agreed to, the party seeking the change may move the Court for appropriate relief, providing notice to any third party whose designation of produced documents as “Confidential” and/or “Confidential-Attorneys’ Eyes Only” in the action may be affected. The party asserting that the material is Confidential shall have the burden of proving that the information in question is within the scope of protection afforded by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c).

13. Within 60 days of the termination of this action, including any appeals, each party shall either destroy or return to the opposing party all documents designated by the opposing party as “Confidential,” and all copies of such documents, and shall destroy all extracts and/or data taken from such documents. Each party shall provide a certification in writing to the disclosing party as to such return or destruction within the 60-day period. Attorneys shall be entitled to retain, however, a set of all documents filed with the Court and all correspondence generated in connection with the action, including one copy of documents designated as “Confidential” and/or “Confidential-Attorneys’ Eyes Only.”

14. Any party may apply to the Court for a modification of the Protective Order, and nothing in the Protective Order shall be construed to prevent a party from seeking such further provisions enhancing or limiting confidentiality as may be appropriate.

15. No action taken in accordance with the Protective Order shall be construed as a waiver of any claim or defense in the action or of any position as to discoverability or admissibility of evidence.

16. The obligations imposed by the Protective Order shall survive the termination of this action.

17. Not later than _____[INSERT DATE], the parties shall file a motion seeking leave to remove any physical materials designated “Confidential” or “Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” from the office of the Clerk of Court.

Stipulated to:

Date: _____

By: _____

Date: _____

By: _____

EXHIBIT A
WRITTEN ASSURANCE

I, _____, declare that:

1. My address is _____, and the address of my present employer is _____.

2. My present occupation or job description is _____
_____.

3. My present relationship to plaintiff(s)/defendant(s) is _____
_____.

4. I have received a copy of the Stipulation for Protective Order (the "Protective Order") in this action.

5. I have carefully read and understand the provisions of the Protective Order, agree to be bound by it, and specifically agree I will not use or disclose to anyone any of the contents of any Confidential information received under the protection of the Protective Order.

6. I understand that I am to retain all copies of any of the materials that I receive which have been so designated as Confidential in a container, cabinet, drawer, room, or other safe place in a manner consistent with the Protective Order and that all copies are to remain in my custody until I have completed my assigned or legal duties. I will destroy or return to counsel all confidential documents and things that come into my possession. I acknowledge that such return or the subsequent destruction of such materials shall not relieve me from any of the continuing obligations imposed upon me by the Protective Order.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state where executed that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this _____ day of _____, 20__, in the State of _____
_____.

Signature

APPENDIX B. JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION CHART

PATENT CLAIM	AGREED PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION	DEFENDANT'S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION
1. Claim language as it appears in the patent with terms and phrases to be construed in bold.	Proposed construction if the parties agree.	Plaintiff's proposed construction if the parties disagree. Citation to Intrinsic Evidence. Citation to Extrinsic Evidence, if any.	Defendant's proposed construction if the parties disagree. Citation to Intrinsic Evidence. Citation to Extrinsic Evidence, if any.
2. Claim language as it appears in the patent with terms and phrases to be construed in bold.	Proposed construction if the parties agree.	Plaintiff's proposed construction if the parties disagree. Citation to Intrinsic Evidence. Citation to Extrinsic Evidence, if any.	Defendant's proposed construction if the parties disagree. Citation to Intrinsic Evidence. Citation to Extrinsic Evidence, if any.
3. Claim language as it appears in the patent with terms and phrases to be construed in bold.	Proposed construction if the parties agree.	Plaintiff's proposed construction if the parties disagree. Citation to Intrinsic Evidence. Citation to Extrinsic Evidence, if any.	Defendant's proposed construction if the parties disagree. Citation to Intrinsic Evidence. Citation to Extrinsic Evidence, if any.

60716498.1

APPENDIX C. TIMELINE OF EXCHANGE AND FILING DATES

